Amendment and Response to Final Office Action dated March 27, 2006

Application Number: 10/690,047

Filed: October 21, 2003

Page 6 of 8

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 20 and 21 are amended and Claim 36 is cancelled herein. Therefore, Claims

20-35 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 20-35 are indicated to contain

allowable subject matter. Support for the amendments is found throughout the specification;

hence, the amendments do not introduce new matter into this application.

Telephone Interview

On March 20, 2006, Examiner Choi participated in a telephone interview with Dr.

David E. Wigley and Mr. Todd J. Obijeski. The above amendments and the remarks below

reflect the substance of the interview. Applicants and their representatives thank Examiner

Choi for his courtesy in conducting this interview.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

No. 4,056,669 to Ballard et al., U.S. Patent No. 3,969,386 to Ballard et al., U.S. Patent No.

3,950,269 to Setterquist, U.S. Patent No. 3,738,944 to Candlin et al., or U.S. Patent No.

3,773,742 to Kruse, either one in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,272,124 to Wu. Claim 36 is

cancelled herein, thereby obviating this rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully

request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

According to the PTO, Claims 20-35 are allowable over the closest references.

Applicants respectfully assert that the above amendments to Claims 20 and 21, as discussed

during the March 20th telephone interview, do not impact patentability and that these claims

are in condition for allowance. Further, in the section of the above-identified Final Office

Action entitled "Allowable Subject Matter," the PTO summarized Claim 20. Specifically, in

WCSR 2310263v1

Amendment and Response to Final Office Action dated March 27, 2006

Application Number: 10/690,047

Filed: October 21, 2003

Page 7 of 8

paragraph 4, page 3, the PTO indicated that the invention as claimed in Claim 20 employs the phrase, "...an aluminum-containing support selected from the group consisting of...and mixtures thereof." However, Applicants did not use this form of a Markush group. Regardless, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 20-35 as presented in this Amendment

remain allowable for the reasons stated by the PTO.

Amendment and Response to Final Office Action dated March 27, 2006

Application Number: 10/690,047

Filed: October 21, 2003

Page 8 of 8

CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a full and complete Amendment and Response to the Final Office Action dated March 27, 2006. For at least the reasons given above, Applicants

respectfully submit that Claims 20-35 define patentable subject matter. Accordingly,

Applicants request allowance of these claims.

This Amendment and Response is being filed within two (2) months of the final

action, therefore Applicants request that an Advisory Action be issued in this case.

No fees are believed due, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge

any deficiencies which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Order

Account No. 09-0528.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is necessary in order to place the

application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

contact Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 24, 2006

By: Jeffery B. Arnold

Reg. No. 39,540

WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

P.O. Box 7037

Atlanta, Georgia 30357-0037

Direct Telephone: (404) 879-2433 Direct Facsimile: (404) 879-2933 Firm Telephone: (404) 872-7000

Firm Telephone: (404) 872-7000

Atty. Docket No.: C51757 0042 (51879.0039.8)